CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) Image: COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) Image: ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0250302 DATE: 9/27/2010 ARRIVE: 11:45AM DEPART: 12:00PM FACILITY NAME: CENTRAL CONCRETE SUPERMIX, INC. DEPART: 12:00PM						
FACILITY LOCATION: 9800 SW 169 ST MIAMI 33157 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: ORLANDO CASTANEDA PHONE: (305)262-3250 Email: Mobile:						
CONTACT NAME: ORLANDO CASTANEDA PHONE: (305)262-3250 Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 11/12/2007 / 11/12/2012 (effective date) (end date) (end date)						
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check only one box)						
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)? 2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity? 3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate, unless such rate is unachievable in practice? 4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then skip 4.a) and continue on to question 5.) a) Was the batching operation in operation during the visible emissions test? Yes □ No b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes □ No 5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes □ No						

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes Yes No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)
2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? Yes No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	 If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i>), <i>thru 2.d</i>), <i>below</i>.)	ing Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? [Yes] No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? [Yes] No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? [Yes] No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? [Yes] No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Tyes No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL</u> <u>CONDITIONS</u> <u>AND</u> <u>PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process</u> <u>Equipment</u>

1. Since the last inspection has there been	
a) installation of any new process equipment?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?	TYes No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete	
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?	Tes No

MARUFUL MALIK

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

09/27/2010

Date of Inspection

09/27/2010

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: On September 27, 2010 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. Previously, I visited this facility on September 21, 2010. The facility was not open and operating during both of my visits. However, I spoke to Maggi Varena, Administrative Assistant, over the phone, and she mentioned that this facility operates occasionally whenever they have work.